Dickover, Donnelly & Donovan, LLP By: Robert J. Dickover, Esq.

2nd Memo

To: Members Town of Chester Zoning Board

From: Robert J. Dickover

Date: 8/19/2020

Re: Jason Maass and Kelli Maass (the "Applicant") Application for Area Variance to allow a Garage to be constructed that will result in the maximum building coverage allowance of 15% to be exceeded

1. The Request for Relief

The applicant seeks to construct a two-car garage on their premises and have applied for an area variance to the zoning code section 98-7 which limits the lot building coverage to a maximum of 15% of the total lot area.

2. The Property.

Address: 8 Park Drive

TM #: Sec. 19 Bl. 1 Lot 17

Zoning District: SR-2

Size: 0.37 Acres or approx. 16,150 sf.

3. The Facts.

By letter dated 07/27/20 the Town Building Inspector denied an application for a building permit due to the maximum lot coverage being exceeded. The applicants appeal from that denial and seek an area variance from the lot coverage requirement.

The appeal is timely.

4. The Application.

The application was not complete for lack of a SFEAF. Such form has now been provided and is acceptable for use.

5. The Law.

Town Zoning Code § 98-7 "Schedules of District Regulations" requires that:

§ 98-7 Schedules of District Regulations.

The Schedules of District Regulations which accompany this chapter are hereby made a part of this chapter.

The bulk area table for the SR-2 zoning district is attached. It has different bulk area requirements depending on the size of the lot. The subject lot appears to be sub-standard with respect to the minimum lot size(s) required (21,780 sf.) and the subject lot does not meet the minimum width required.

A more legible survey has now been provided. However, the proposed distances from the proposed garage to the boundary line is not shown. From a review of the survey it appears that the garage is proposed to be less than 35' from Second street. This would require a further variance.

Code § 98-10 "Corner lots" provides:

- A. Front yard setbacks and requirements are required for all yards which abut streets. The narrowest yard of a lot which fronts on two or more streets shall be deemed to be the front yard. The yard opposite the front yard shall be the rear yard and all others shall be side yards.
- B. At all street intersections, no obstructions to vision over 30 inches in height shall be erected on any lot within the triangle formed by the intersecting street lines and a line drawn between points along such street lines 30 feet distant from their point of intersection.

§ 98-11 "Accessory buildings" provides:

- A. A permitted accessory building may be located in any required side or rear yard, provided that:
- (1) Such building, except for farm purposes, shall not exceed 24 feet in height.
- (2) Such building shall be set back at least five feet from any lot line and at least 10 feet from the principal building.
- (3) Such building shall not occupy more than 30% of the required side or rear yard.
- (4) Such building shall meet all front yard setback requirements for corner lots.
- B. No such building shall project nearer to the front street line than the principal building.
- (1) Construction of accessory buildings may be allowed in the front or side yard, but not within the required minimum setback of such front or side yard, on lots containing five acres or more. However, such accessory structure shall not be visible from the road on which the parcel fronts.

The denial letter from the Building Inspector used the lot coverage requirement applicable to lots one acre in size. Lesser size lots appear to be permitted in the zoning district and have different lot coverage requirements.

It appears that the garage may violate the setback requirement from Second Street and/or the 10 ft separation distance from the house all depending on the actual dimensions/distances which are not shown on the survey. An actual survey should be provided.

The Building Inspector should be asked to review this item and determine if further variance relief is requested and, if so, issue a further letter detailing any further variance relief needed. Absent

such, the applicant may find themselves back before the Board on another petition for variance relief.

> This issue requires consideration by the Zoning Board and referral to the building inspector.

6. GML 239-m:

The application materials do not indicate that the application is or is not subject to NYS GML section 239-m review.

A review of the property location is required in order to ascertain whether a 239 referral is or is not required. This is required prior to scheduling a public hearing on the matter.

7. Public Hearing:

A Public Hearing on this application should not be scheduled until the issue of further variance relief is examined and settled.

Respectfully,

Robert J. Dickover, Esq. Counsel to the Zoning Board of Appeals

> Identifies an action item

